

<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Application No. 10/584,975 </td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Applicant(s) STEINHAUSER ET AL. </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Examiner DEREK D. KNIGHT </td> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Art Unit 3655 </td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/584,975	Applicant(s) STEINHAUSER ET AL.	Examiner DEREK D. KNIGHT	Art Unit 3655
Application No. 10/584,975	Applicant(s) STEINHAUSER ET AL.				
Examiner DEREK D. KNIGHT	Art Unit 3655				

All Participants:

(1) DEREK D. KNIGHT.

(2) Mike BuJold.

Date of Interview: 22 October 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *N/A.*

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 29 and 30

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendments to claims to put in condition for allowance

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Derek D Knight/
Examiner, Art Unit 3655

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)